Hard Chrome Alternatives Team



Bruce's Badgers "Mean To Be Green"

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	s regarding this burden estimate or formation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the control o	his collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE AUG 2001	2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2001 to 00-00-2001		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Bruce's Badgers 'Mean To Be Green'				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory, AFRL/MLQL, Wright Patterson AFB, OH, 45433				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited				
<u>-</u>	OTES of Hard Chrome Pla d by SERDP/ESTCI	~ ~	ew Meeting, Septe	ember 25-26,	2002, Toronto,	
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON			
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	24	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188



Objectives



- Compare performance of coatings applied by different equipment
 - Sulzer-Metco Diamond Jet
 - TAFA JP5000
 - TAFA ST
 - Praxair
- Compare performance of three coating alloys
 - WC-Co
 - WC-Co-Cr
 - T-800
- Compare performance of two powder types
 - agglomerate
 - cast



Objectives (con't.d)



Evaluate effect of processing parameters

- grit blasting
- shotpeening
- coating deposition thickness
- coating surface finish
- multiple (3) application/strip cycles
- multiple (2) coating layers
- grooved coating repair



Approach



- Comparative fatigue testing (185 ksi; R= 0.1)
- Tensile testing
- Adhesion testing
- Corrosion testing
- Metallurgical analysis

23 different configurations458 specimen



Current Status



- Fatigue testing complete except for specimen to be coated at Ogden ALC using their optimized parameters
- Tensile testing complete
- Adhesion testing complete
- Corrosion testing complete
- Metallurgical Analysis ongoing
- Big tube coating and testing ongoing



Results of Fatigue Testing



- Only specimen coated with WC-Co applied by the TAFA JP 5000 showed fatigue performance inferior to that of EHC
- Specimen coated with WC-Co-Cr applied by the Sulzer-Metco
 Diamond Jet showed fatigue performance inferior to that of WCCo, but still better than or equal to EHC
- Specimen coated with T-800 showed fatigue performance similar to that of WC-Co
- Specimen coated with cast WC-Co powder showed fatigue performance inferior to that of agglomerate powder, but still better than or equal to EHC
- WC-Co coated specimen that have been shotpeened, but not grit blasted, showed fatigue performance superior to those that have been shotpeened and grit blasted or to those that have been grit blasted only. Eliminating both shotpeening and grit blasting is the worse case for fatigue performance.



Results of Fatigue Testing (cont'd.)



- Specimen with a thicker preground layer of WC-Co (.008" vs. .005") showed enhanced fatigue performance
- Coating surface finish (16 micro inch vs. 8 micro inch vs. superfinish) had no obvious effect upon fatigue performance
- Multiple WC-Co application/strip cycles had no detrimental effect upon fatigue performance
- Multiple WC-Co coating layers had no detrimental effect upon fatigue performance
- Repair of a grooved WC-Co coating had no detrimental effect upon fatigue performance



Coating Integrity During Fatigue Testing



- Some of the Sulzer-Metco applied WC-Co coatings cracked and delaminated during testing. All of the Praxair applied coatings exhibited this behavior. None of the TAFA applied coatings showed visible cracking or delamination
- WC-Co-Cr coatings showed more cracking and delamination than WC-Co
- NDI of coated specimen after testing revealed that HVOF coatings can appear to be intact but are actually disbonded from the substrate. TAFA applied coatings showed less disbonding than those of the other processes
- EHC showed no tendency to crack or delaminate



Results of Tensile Testing



- For all of the different configurations, no consistent trends were observed concerning any effect of the coating upon specimen tensile strength
- In every case the entire HVOF coating cracked and spalled from the specimen in the post yield region
- EHC remained intact and only spalled near the fracture face



Results of Adhesion Testing



- HVOF applied T-800 coatings showed failure at the substrate interface
- All of the other HVOF applied coatings and EHC showed acceptable bond strength for all configurations



GM 9540 P/B Cyclic Corrosion Test



0.9% NaCl, .1% CaCl₂, .25% Na HCO₃ in Distilled H₂O

3 Consecutive 10 min salt mist

90 min @ 75°C, 30-50% RH 5 hrs

10 min salt mist

170 min @ 25°C, 30-50% RH 3 hrs

8 hrs @ 49°C, 95-100% RH 8 hrs

8 hrs @ 60°C, <30% RH 8 hrs

1 cycle = 24 hrs



Results of Corrosion Testing



2000 hours (80 cycles) GM 9540 P/B

- TAFA and Sulzer-Metco applied WC-Co coatings are darkly discolored, have multiple eruption sites and are rough to the touch
- Praxair applied WC-Co coatings are discolored, but have no eruption sites and a smoother surface
- Some WC-Co and WC-Co-Cr specimen show edge corrosion that undercut the coating and attacked the substrate
- WC-Co-Cr coatings are much lighter in color, have no eruption sites and the surface is smooth
- None of the EHC specimen show corrosion



Results of Corrosion Testing (cont'd.)



- Hydraulic fluid wipe of EHC specimen was effective in preventing edge corrosion
- The precracked HVOF coated specimen looked no different than the uncracked ones
- None of the different processing configurations seemed to have any effect upon corrosion testing performance





WC-Co



Sulzer-Metco Diamond Jet

WC-Co-Cr



Sulzer-Metco Diamond Jet

EHC



w/o Ni Underlay





WC-Co



TAFA JP5000

WC-Co



Praxair

EHC



w/o Ni Underlay





WC-Co



TAFA ST

T-800



Sulzer-Metco Diamond Jet

EHC



w/o Ni Underlay





EHC



w/o Ni Underlay

EHC



w/Ni Underlay

EHC



w/o Ni underlay w/HydFluid Wipe



Preliminary Post Corrosion Testing Analysis



- HVOF WC-Co coating eruptions are primarily cobalt with no evidence of substrate bleed-through
- Lightly sanding the WC-Co coating removes the corrosive layer revealing a blotchy surface with numerous small pits
- Lightly sanding the WC-Co-Cr coating removes the discoloration layer to reveal a smooth, pit-free surface



Metallurgical Analysis



- 25 specimen evaluated by Cincinnati Thermal Spray determined that most of the HVOF coatings are in compliance with GE Aircraft Engine guidelines
 - Transverse cracks in the coating (1 nonconformance)
 - Coating delamination (1 nonconformance)
 - Contamination of the coating bondline (10 nonconformances)
 - Voids and oxides in the coating (1 nonconformance)
 - Unmelted particles in the coating (0 nonconformance)
 - Abnormalities of the coating (0 nonconformance)
- Our in-house evaluation is ongoing





Non-Destructive Inspection (NDI) Through HVOF Coatings



Objectives



Determine the ability of common NDI techniques to detect substrate cracks under HVOF WC-Co Coatings as compared to those under Electroplated Hard Chrome.

- Ultrasonics
- Eddy-current
- Magnetic Particle
- Dye Penetrant
- Visual



Work Accomplished (cont'd.)



50 4340 Steel Plates: 2" x 14" x 5/16" thick

2 EDM flaws (.004" deep) per plate

Cracks grown by 3 point bending

EDM flaws machined away

100 Cracks: .040" to .250" Long

.0125" to .089" Deep

Plates Shotpeened



Work Accomplished (cont'd.)



Additional flexing to open the cracks

Materials Directorate Systems Support Division NDI Group characterized cracks prior to coating

- Ultrasonic inspection readily found the cracks
- As expected, dye penetrant wasn't too useful
- Visual inspection was difficult
- Eddy current and magnetic particle techniques didn't reveal the cracks as well as desired
 - Additional flexing didn't help
 - Ancient NDI guru: "the stresses from shotpeening are hindering the alignment of the magnetic domains"
 - Machining .003" from the shotpeened surface solved the problem



Still To Be Done



- Specimen will be HVOF coated or EHC plated
- Specimen will be ground to a .003" or .010" final thickness with an 8 micro inch finish
- NDI of coated specimen and analysis